

## MEETING OF THE TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION

**DATE** 

JULY 30, 2024

Tuesday, July 30, 2024

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Good morning, all. This meeting is called to order. Mr. Trobman, has anyone signed up for public comment?

MR. TROBMAN: Good morning, commissioners. We do have two individuals who would like to address the commission when we get to Item Number 11.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Thank you. Moving on.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: The motion passes with the exceptions noted. We'll take a short recess.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: This is Agenda Item 9, the readoption of the Texas Workforce Commission's ethics policy.

SHANA KELLY: Good morning, commissioners. How are you today? My name is Shana Kelly. I'm an assistant general counsel and I am here today to bring forth the ethics policy readoption for your review and consideration. The last time the commission reviewed and adopted the ethics policy was January 17, 2018. Since then, there have been some changes in the law that we needed to update within the ethics policy, and so we've done so. A majority of the changes within there are nonsubstantive, things like trying to move to more plain English writing to make it more accessible and understandable, removing as many links as possible to just say what we're going to say, and making sure that the information is accurate and correct to match things like the change from RID to FDCM. We have four

substantive changes in there as well. They are as follows. Currently Section 1.9.3 now reflects that the chief ethics officer and ethics advisor functions are both within the Office of General Counsel where the ethics advisor was previously within the Regulatory Integrity Division not FDCM like I mentioned. We removed the lobbyist and lobbying firms from the list of prohibited sources in Section 1.11.1 to reflect that the acceptance of benefits from lobbyist and lobbying firms is allowable under limited circumstances. Section 1.11.2.4 was revised to reflect an increase in the threshold amount of expenditures for food, lodging, and entertainment that a lobbyist may provide to an individual public officer, official or employee or the lobbyist must include the individual's name on the activities report. This amount increased from 114 up to 132.60 between 2018 and 2024. The annual cap, however, remains the same at \$500. Finally, Section 1.13.2 was revised to clarify that candidacy for public office in a partisan election is only a prohibited act if the employee candidate's salary is paid completely from federal funds. This change was made because after the legislative increase in salaries, only three percent of TWC employees are paid fully from federal funds. Are there any questions?

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Any comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: You said your name

|| was Shana?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
                          SHANA KELLY: Yes, Shana.
                          COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: This is your first
2
3
   time to present before us?
 4
                          SHANA KELLY: It is not, no.
 5
                          COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: It's not, OK. Just
6
    checking.
7
                          SHANA KELLY: Nice try, though.
                          COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: No comments.
8
9
                          COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: No questions.
10
                          CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Excellent point,
11
   Commissioner Treviño. About how long would you estimate did you
12
   work on this project?
13
                          SHANA KELLY: I think we started on this
14
   about four months ago.
                          CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Excellent work, very well
15
16
   done. A useful tool for TWC employees. I hope that they will
17
    read it. Any additional comments or questions.
18
                          COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: None here.
19
                          CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Is there a motion?
20
                          COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Chairman, I move we
21
   adopt the Texas Workforce Commission ethics policy as presented
22
    today by staff.
23
                          COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I second ethics.
24
                          CHAIRMAN DANIEL: It's been moved and
25
   seconded, and the vote is unanimous. Thank you.
```

SHANA KELLY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: This is Agenda Item 10, publication for public comment of proposed amendments to 40 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 801, local Workforce Development Boards. Commissioners, if there's not objection, I would like to push this to the next commission meeting. I will have an amendment. I think that there is an issue that needs to be solved. I actually don't think staff probably went far enough in solving the problem. I need to research the problem a little bit more to be sure I have the language right because I don't want to nuke a flea on this but by the same token, I think that there is some more that needs to be done. I need to gain a better understanding of the problem so I can craft a better amendment. If there's an objection to that, we'll hear them out and I guess we'll vote on it but I really would like to have two more weeks so I can have an amendment ready for you to look at. Any objection to pushing? COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: No, no objections here. COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: No objection, chairman.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Thank you. Sorry about that. We'll see you in two weeks. Thank you.

JOEL MULLINS: No problem.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: All right, does that bring us to Item 11, this is child care services rule waivers regarding the Texas Rising Star assessments. We've got two public commenters that will speak right after staff and then we'll—so you're going to need to hang around because we'll have some questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LINDSAY HILL: Good morning, chairman and commissioners. I'm Lindsay Hill with the Child Care & Early Learning Division. This morning we're bringing forward waivers of the child care rules which we are recommending in order to implement temporary strategies intended to expedite the assessment of entry-level designated programs. As you are aware, the legislature enacted legislation which makes Texas Rising Star certification a requirement for CCS providers. These providers receive a two-year entry-level designation period before they must attain at least a two-star certification. The legislation and TWC rules also allow TWC to extend this entrylevel period for up to 36 months based on specific factors including extenuating circumstances beyond the provider's control. The first waiver we are recommending is for TWC rule Section 809.133(c)(1) which requires that the centralized assessment entity conduct an onsite assessment. If approved, TWC will direct the centralized assessment entity to begin conducting desk review assessments of the structural required two-star measures. We will also allow providers the ability to

opt out of this two-star assessment and wait to receive an onsite assessment of all Texas Rising Star measures. The second waiver that we are requesting is for TWC rule Section 809.133(d) which requires annual monitoring inspections. We are requesting that for the newly assessed entry-level designated programs, they be allowed an annual monitoring visit within 18 months rather than 12 months. We are also asking that you extend a current waiver of this section that was approved on November 7th of last year through September 30, 2025. We are hopeful that these rule waivers will allow the centralized assessment entity to complete assessments of entry-level designated programs more quickly and allow them to balance their future workload by spreading out the required annual assessments over time. That concludes my presentation.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Any comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: None here.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I've got a question. What's—so the second waiver is an extension. What do we measure to see what happens in that six months after—we've giving them six more months of time, what are we allowing for there?

that they must be monitored annually so that needs to be conducted within 12 months of the assessment. What we're saying here is if the centralized assessment entity is conducting a lot of initial assessments in, say, September, they're not going to

be able to do those onsite annuals in September by their due date so we're allowing them six additional months to complete those annual monitoring visits.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: OK, very good, thank you.

LINDSAY HILL: Sure.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: So the centralized assessment entity in charge of these assessments, have they been letting us know throughout the process that they were going to have trouble covering all the assessments statewide?

LINDSAY HILL: Yes, we have been in communication with them weekly if not monthly about this and talking through different options and suggestions of how they could implement the assessments faster.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: To meet the requirements of entry level and the two-star designation, what kind of requirements does an onsite review add that a desk review wouldn't be able to ascertain?

our structural required measures which are a lot of the paperwork. It's the parent policies, your employee handbook, your staff education and training whereas the onsite assessment will look at the actual classroom environment as well as the teachers and some other points-based measures related to program administration and staff education and qualifications.

1 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: What's the longest 2 timeline you think we would need to have these waivers in effect 3 for them to catch up? 4 LINDSAY HILL: I am not sure. We are hopeful 5 that this waiver will be enough time for them as they are also 6 strategically planning to look at their staffing to help support 7 more assessments being conducted. 8 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Do we know at this point 9 if the centralized assessment entity is adding any additional 10 assessors above where they are so that they can do a better job 11 with this? 12 LINDSAY HILL: Yes, they are continually 13 trying to staff up and employ. 14 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: How long is our contract with this centralized assessment? Are there other entities in 15 16 the state that provide the same type of service? 17 LINDSAY HILL: Our current contract with 18 them is two years so we have just started year two. I am not 19 familiar with any other similar entity. 20 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: OK, thanks. Hang tight. 21 We've got two public commenters. Let's hear from them. There may 22 be additional questions after we hear public comment, and then we'll have to move to a motion after that. 23 24

1 MR. TROBMAN: Good morning, commissioners. 2 Les Trobman, general counsel. We have two commenters. Ms. 3 Camargo, if you could unmute yourself and begin. 4 MS. CAMARGO: Can you hear me? 5 MR. TROBMAN: We can hear you. 6 MS. CAMARGO: OK, thank you. Good morning, 7 commissioners. My name is Kassondra Camargo. I represent Christian Preschool Centers out of the Lubbock South Plains 8 9 area. I just wanted to thank you for allowing us to come to you today and speak in regards to the waivers. The waivers I do 10 11 actually-am in agreement with. I'm interested to see how the 12 desk review will work and what exactly that will entail. 13 Currently the CAE I believe as of June had 3,200 assessments 14 still to be completed and even if they hire in some more 15 assessors, they're looking at about a six-month training span so 16 with the waivers being put in place, I think that that will be a 17 big help to be able to get caught up so I'm interested to see, 18 like I said, how the desk reviews will work. I do ask that the 19 waivers be granted for providers that are not considered 20 assessment ready be included in that waiver as well. We have a 21 specific school actually Ms. Jessike Cabello is going to speak 22 to you in regards of her situation but she's not deemed 23 assessment ready because she has a pending citation that is in 24 admin review and so she can't be deemed assessment ready and so

I just ask that that be included in that waiver as well. As far

as the waiver being proposed for current TRS providers, I agree with this waiver due to the changes to the TRS measures. This allows providers time to adjust to those measures that are being made as of October so that's what I have to say today. Thank you for the time allowing me to speak.

2.4

MR. TROBMAN: Thank you, and our final commenter is Ms. Cabello. Ms. Cabello, if you could unmute yourself and begin.

MS. CABELLO: Can you guys hear me?

MR. TROBMAN: We sure can.

MS. CABELLO: All right. Well, hello and good morning. My name is Jessike Cabello and I am the director at Treehouse Children's Academy. I would like to start off by thanking you for the opportunity to speak in front of you guys and voice my thoughts. I am a newer director and in the midst of the transition we were given a citation. After reading over the waiver, I will say I do like the ideals behind the desk review of structural measures. However, with the pending citation and our decision to administrative review, this would hold us back from being set as assessment ready, and that would lead us not to qualify for the desk review of structural measure. With that being said, one of the things that we're also struggling with is that we are being told, yes, you're good to go, yes, let's do assessment ready while then being told, hold off, we have to wait. So the timeline of this is elongating the onboarding

```
1
   process for us which will also affect us with the pending
2
   citation. This concludes my statement.
 3
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: All right, thank you. Is
 4
   there any additional questions or comments, commissioners?
 5
                         COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: No, just thank you
   for the comments from our commenters.
 6
7
                         COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: No additional
   questions.
8
9
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: All right, is there a
   motion?
10
11
                         COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Chairman, I move that
12
   we approve the temporary waivers of requirements contained in 40
13
   Texas Administrative Code Section 809.133(c)(1) and 809.133(d)
14
   to implement the temporary Texas Rising Star two-star strategy
15
   as described in the discussion paper and recommended today by
16
   staff.
17
                         COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I second.
18
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: It's been moved and
19
   seconded, and the motion carries. Thank you. All right, is there
20
   an executive director's report today?
21
                         MR. SERNA: No, sir, not today.
22
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Is there any other order
   of business to come before the commission? Hearing none, is
23
24
   there a motion to adjourn?
```

1		COMMITC	TONED	mp 17.7	7 T ÑI ∩ •	Chairman	Т тогго	+ 0
		COMMISS	STONER	IKEV	INO:	Cilalililaii	, I move	LO
2	adjourn.							
3		COMMISS	SIONER	ESPA	ARZA:	I second		
4		CHAIRMA	AN DAN	IEL:	It's	been mov	ed and	
5	seconded to adjourn.	That vo	te's u	ınani	mous	and we'r	e adjour	ned.
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								