

MEETING OF THE TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION

DATE

JULY 16, 2024

1 Tuesday, July 16, 2024 2 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Good morning, all. This 3 meeting is called to order. Mr. Trobman, has anyone signed up 4 for public comment? 5 MR. TROBMAN: No, sir. 6 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Thank you, Mr. Trobman. 7 All right. 8 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: The motion passes with the 9 exceptions noted. That brings us to the end of Agenda Items 1 10 through 8. We'll take a short recess. 11 MS. GONZALES: Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: All right, we're back. 13 This is Agenda Item 9, funding for FY25 Chapter 133, 14 apprenticeship training. 15 KERRY BALLAST: Good morning, Chairman 16 Daniel, Commissioner Treviño, Commissioner Esparza, and Mr. 17 Serna. For the record, Kerry Ballast, Workforce Development 18 Division. At the July 2nd meeting, staff were asked to return 19 with a discussion paper outlining use of Texas Industry 20 Recognized Apprenticeship grant program funds to supplement the 21 Texas Education Code Chapter 133, Apprenticeship Training 22 Program contact hour rate. The discussion paper in your notebook 23 materials gives background on this topic and addresses the use 24 of TIRA funds to achieve a consistent Chapter 133 contact hour

rate for fiscal year 2025. This concludes my remarks. I am happy to answer any questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Any comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: None here.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I have a comment, chairman. First of all, thank you. Kerry, thank you very much, you and your staff, and Mary for all the information that they provided to this. I know this is the second time it's come up. I don't have any questions to this. Commissioner Treviño, I do want to support your initiatives as far as making sure that we do have some support for these programs. I still-really to me this really kind of boils down to the intent of the legislative actions that pushes this type of funding for Chapter 133. I understand this is-it's in the same budget, it's allowable as transfer but I feel the program was set up because of the legislation that we just talked about and these rules are stillreally took effect in November, a few days after I showed up so apologize for that learning curve. I really feel that the TIRA program needs just more support, promotional. We need to find good avenues and hopefully after those avenues take hold, employers will be using this program. If it's not, I feel it's at the direction of the legislature to amend it and to care for it or snuff it out if it's their choosing. I feel that we are the ones that enact-obviously we enact what the legislature provides so I can't support the precedent of using these funds

to become a budget mechanism for sustaining the registered apprenticeship program in the future so again, I-respectfully I'm going to decline to support.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: A fair point. I do have—I have a couple questions.

KERRY BALLAST: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Why is there TIRA money

available?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

KERRY BALLAST: What we've discovered in this first year is that there are some parts of the legislation that employers are pausing on, and a little concerned about. For example, the way TIRA is currently established, the apprentice would need to go through up to 26 weeks of employment and then a year of training, and then a year's worth of employment before the employer would be eligible for reimbursement. That tends to disrupt comfort levels for some of our employers who would like there to be milestones along the way so for example, once training is completed possibly a reimbursement at that point. Another point may be along in the employment and then another at the end of the actual grant period itself. That seems to be one. I think also just as a matter of a large initiative, as you know you go through a year of rule development, you begin outreach, and you just begin to raise awareness of a new initiative. We certainly are seeing much more interest but not in time to spend all funds for this current fiscal year.

```
1
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: So the current fiscal year
2
   we're in, about how much funds were available at the begin of
 3
   the fiscal year for TIRA?
 4
                         KERRY BALLAST: Just about a little less
5
   than 10 million.
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: And how much do we
 6
7
   anticipate that we will have obligated by the end of this fiscal
8
   year?
9
                         KERRY BALLAST: If everything goes well, if
10
   we can get a few of these applications through, we're looking at
11
   roughly 3.6 million that we could obligate between now and
12
   August 31.
13
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: So that leaves about 5.4
14
   million, 6.4 million, somewhere in there?
15
                         KERRY BALLAST: Yes, sir.
16
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Call it six million for
17
   just our conversation here today. So the six million, would it
18
   roll forward into the next fiscal year?
19
                         KERRY BALLAST: Yes, sir, it will.
20
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: So then there would be 16-
21
   ish million available assuming everything went according to
22
   plan.
23
                         KERRY BALLAST: Yes, sir.
24
                         COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: So is there
25
   opportunity to, in this next legislative session, to amend the
```

```
1
   points that we're seeing? That's kind of what we're talking
2
   about here.
3
                         KERRY BALLAST: Yes, sir.
4
                         COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: Make it easier for
5
   the door to, you know, make it-
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Well, you took the words
6
7
   right out of my mouth.
                         COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: OK.
8
9
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: I think-
10
                         COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: My apologies,
11
   chairman. I know you like your words.
12
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: No, they're for everyone.
13
   That's why I talk so much.
14
                         COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: That's right.
15
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: You know, I think this
16
   commission needs to do a couple things relative to the
17
   legislative session, and I do appreciate you bringing up this
18
   point. I think there's this particular issue that employers have
19
   raised with TIRA and I think it's a legitimate issue. I think
20
   there's some other timing issues in TIRA that are legitimate
21
   issues. There's a 26-week policy in there I think is limiting
22
   for some certain apprenticeship programs. The reason some of
23
   these things are in there is because this was originally written
24
   in the aftermath of Hurricane Harvey and it was designed to put
25
   people in the field quickly but we've evolved past that in terms
```

of the Texas workforce, and so I think this commission, and soon, needs to take up that in terms of the legislative proposal for the legislature to consider to make some changes to TIRA based on our experience running those, and additionally I think I've gathered just through our conversation up here because we don't actually get to talk about this other than on Tuesdays but, you know, we 100 percent are at a place where I think we really should consider an exceptional item request on our LAR for the Chapter 133 apprenticeships. I think it has shown, despite some lackluster performance for the previous five years, I think the last 24 months have shown that work that Kerry and Desi and others have been doing in terms of outreach and making this program useful for people, they did that and so now we don't have enough money to run the program in a way that we would like to run the program, and so we have a couple options of serve less people. I'm not necessarily interested in serving less people, or get a funding increase but to your point, Commissioner Esparza, only the legislature can do that so I think that needs to be part of the conversation. I've not been in any way reserved about suggesting that running all of this under the umbrella of Apprenticeship Texas is probably good for the program, and I would highly anticipate that whatever tactics that Kerry and Desi and that team use to build up 133, I highly suspect that over time and with some, probably some policy tweaks, that we can do the same time relative to TIRA so that we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have frankly a program that's good for federally registered apprenticeship programs if that's appropriate or a state program if that's also more appropriate. So I think we've got some decision points ahead of us that can make a big difference in the program. I'm not in any way trying to minimize your concern about the funds. I fall down on the side of I'd rather spend the funds on an apprenticeship effort than lapse those funds or take the risk of lapsing those funds. I would also say unless Commissioner Treviño disagrees that we would move to the TIRA pool of money last when we were making up what we feel like could be some shortfalls on the four-dollar-an-hour contact hour rate so maybe we don't spend the whole million, maybe we do. It's going to depend on demand but as we're building this, you know, same line item, same mode of operation in the budget, same everything. I'm more comfortable with it than you. I'm not in any way minimizing your concern. I think it's a legitimate concern. I think were they not in the same exact pool of money in the budget I'd probably have a completely different feeling but, you know, my understanding of the state budget and how it works, I think we're on fairly certain ground here. I would not feel the same way if we were moving this to a non-apprenticeship kind of activity. It would be a completely different conversation if that were the case. I'll be real honest with you. You know, I would be looking at pre-apprenticeship funds the same way we're looking at the TIRA funds today if those were

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

not being spent. I think we're building an overall package here. I don't think it's growing kind of equally. I think we have to balance our dollars across the growth. I've thought long and hard about what the intent of the legislature was with the money. My conclusion at the end of the day is we want a good strong program. I think it's justifiable. I'm against the-I'm on the other side of the fence maybe on this one but I do want to say publicly I think your concerns are very well founded, very well-articulated, and I think under different circumstances I'd probably agree with you but I think here you've just got this kind of sequence of events that have occurred and I think this is a good and useful tool for us to continue to build our overall effort because I do think that the things that we've done relative to 133 will ultimately prove to be good growth strategies for TIRA and I think we've got a chance to do that. Your opposition to it is certainly well understood and appreciated. I think you've made a great point. I don't mean to cut you out of the conversation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: That's OK.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN DANIEL: I mean Joe and I can talk} % \begin{center} \begin{c$

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: No, I mean I agree with you, chairman, and I do see your valid point, commissioner, and this is just—we have been talking to staff about the exceptional items for future 133 but I applaud staff for how

they found this money to—as a last resort but it's just a bridge for 2025, not to be a precedent or anything that's going to be continuing and it could also maybe help to jumpstart the TIRA and make it more out there so that employers know that it is available. So I think that's what it is, if that lays some of your concerns about it being a precedent, it's just a bridge for 2025.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I appreciate that. CHAIRMAN DANIEL: I mean, we were-I think the last two years, I'd say the last two years, I think we've been able to really translate some 133 success into some more TIRA success but I look at even past kind of the apprenticeship efforts proper. We were just talking, Commissioner Esparza was telling me that he had traveled this week to attend an event, and the event is Noble Texas Builders in the valley. It's the kickoff event I think for their teacher externship program but like when we think about what so many employers across the state have done relative to teacher externships, this is related to this overall apprenticeship effort. Pre-apprenticeship efforts will be part of this, and I look at the job openings in these types of jobs. These are skilled trade jobs. You don't have to go to the university but you're going to have the equivalent of a university education. I mean apprenticeship and any avenue that leads to those apprenticeships is just good business. It's good business for the employer and it's good business for the

people who are trying to get those licenses, and so whether it's the externship that leads you to the pre-apprenticeship that leads you to that or a teacher just guides you in the right direction when you're a freshman in high school through the correct CTE courses, this whole effort and the gains that this agency has made relative to this whole effort is good. This is a good and healthy debate. I think it's definitely a legitimate debate. At the end of the day I think the vote is unanimous in the sense of we're very proud of what our staff has done relative to helping people get these good-paying jobs. I think we all do still agree on that if we're not going to agree on today's vote which is perfectly understandable. Any further comments? Any further questions?

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: None here.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: I'm voting aye. Mr.

Trevino, I assume you're voting aye. We'll get the motion on the table so go ahead and put the motion on the table.

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: OK. I move we approve the addition of \$1,000,000 to the Texas—in the Texas Industry Recognized Apprenticeship funds to the fiscal year 2025 Chapter 133 apprenticeship training program budget as described in the discussion paper and presented today by staff.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: I second the motion. Any further comments?

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: No comments. I will—I said no comments, I apologize.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I do support the amounts previously that we approved on the July 2nd health—I think it was all health care and the DOL expansion so there's that too so. Yeah, my vote is no.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Let me just take a point of personal privilege here. This commission over time, there have been iterations of this commission where debate was discouraged at the commission meetings, dissenting votes were discouraged at the commission meetings. I don't think that's necessary. This was a very respectful process. We respected the process. I think there's a great deal of respect for the commission. Next week you and Commissioner Treviño may agree on something that I don't agree on, and I think as long as we can maintain an atmosphere where we can come and debate that and continue the work of the commission, I don't anticipate that we're always going to agree about everything. I appreciate you bringing your concerns. I think you articulated them well. I don't-I mean I don't think it's ever disrespectful if we don't like something to speak up and say, hey, I don't like that, let me tell you why I don't like that. We get a lot better results from this commission when we take the time to take our jobs that seriously. I appreciate what you've done. I appreciate you

1 bringing the issue, and I appreciate your patience in letting 2 Commissioner Esparza get all the information that he needed to 3 study this. I think it's been a great process. I hope we can 4 duplicate this in the future when we need to. 5 COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: I agree. CHAIRMAN DANIEL: The motion carries. 6 7 KERRY BALLAST: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Thank you. 9 COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: Thanks, Kerry. 10 COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: So, Mr. Serna, I have 12 noticed that Lowell has stopped wearing a tie to commission 13 meetings when he thinks that I might call on him for a 14 legislative report. I just want the record to reflect that this 15 is the third meeting in a row he has not had a tie on to avoid 16 having to report on legislative issues across the street. 17 MR. SERNA: It seems to be an effective tactic. 18 19 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Until today it was working 20 really good for him so is there an executive director's report 21 today? 22 MR. SERNA: One very quickly, actually two 23 items. First off, I want to make sure that the commission knows 24 that the agency did apply for disaster unemployment assistance 25 funding or grant, extra funding, because of first, all the

flooding, the severe storms and flooding that we had in East Texas, and then most recently Hurricane Beryl. Most of that funding, the lion's share, the huge lion's share of that funding was for additional benefits with regard to the severe storms. We really only asked for about 57,000 for administration, about 408,000 initially and then later just under a million for Hurricane Beryl. We submitted a request on the 3rd for about 57again, 57,000 in administrative support or funding but 936,000 for actual benefits so we will continue to do that on a very quick basis. I know this is kind of an after-the-fact report but because these things happen very quickly, especially with a disaster, we try to get the funding as quickly as possible. In case you're wondering why we didn't ask for more, we were advised that on our initial request, DOL told us it's always better to come in under a million, then the approval process moves faster, and then with your supplemental you can ask for a higher amount because you've already gone through the process but if you ask for an amount higher than that initially, then it gets bogged down in the bureaucracy of Washington. The second thing I want to report is along the same lines. I'm not going to go into any details but we are seeing, of course with the claims coming in because of the two disasters, we do anticipate and are seeing some additional fraud coming in, some attempts at fraud. Our processes are addressing those. We will add additional processes to address those. We have heard anecdotally that on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	some of the social media sites that individuals are being
2	encouraged to apply for unemployment insurance benefits, and
3	they're comparing these benefits or with quote is available to
4	you for Beryl and the floods to what was available during the
5	pandemic which is not the case. These are completely different
6	benefits that are strictly unemployment benefits, not support
7	benefits. We believe that that's just the fraudsters trying to
8	flood our system so that they can kind of sneak in as well but
9	we will-we have tools in place and will implement additional
10	tools to continue to prevent that. It's a priority for us while
11	we are still trying to get the money to those people that
12	deserve it and need it as quickly as possible. And with that,
13	that's all I have for my executive director's report.
14	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: All right, any other order
15	of business to come before the commission.
16	COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: None here.
17	COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: No, chairman.
18	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Is there a motion to
19	adjourn?
20	COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Chairman, I move to
21	adjourn.
22	COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I second.
23	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: It's been moved and
24	seconded to adjourn, and we are adjourned.