

MEETING OF THE TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION

DATE

JUNE 18, 2024

Tuesday, June 18, 2024

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Good morning, all. This meeting is called to order. Mr. Trobman, has anyone signed up for public comment?

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Motion passes with the exceptions noted. That brings us to the end of Agenda Items 1

through 8. We'll take a short recess.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: This is Agenda Item 9, proposed rules for 40 TAC Chapter 804, Jobs and Education for Texans program.

Daniel, Commissioner Treviño, Commissioner Esparza, and Mr.

Serna. For the record, I'm Collin Bradley with the Workforce

Development Division, it's very odd to say. Commissioners,

before you today for your consideration for approval are

proposed rule amendments to Chapter 804, Jobs and Education for

Texans. These proposed amendments will ensure that this chapter

aligns with terminology used in statute and reflects updated

program practices. Changes made to the chapter were minor. For

example, we changed every occurrence of the phrase "charter

school" to "open-enrollment charter school" to better align with

statute. The other change we made was to Section 804.22, Notice

of Availability. Essentially the rules read that the agency

would post an NOA, however, this was not required by statute nor

1 was it standard program practice so we changed that to reflect 2 our standard program practice of publishing a request for 3 applications. Also, Texas Government Code requires that every 4 four years each state agency review and consider for readoption, 5 revision or repeal each rule adopted by the agency. TWC reviewed 6 the chapter as part of the proposed rule in accordance with the 7 statutory requirement. Staff recommends submitting the proposed rule for publication in the Texas Register for a 30-day public 8 9 comment period. Staff also requests the ability to make minor 10 nonsubstantive changes to the document in order to comply with 11 the publication requirements of the Texas Register and the 12 Office of the Secretary of State. This concludes my 13 presentation. I'm available to answer any questions. 14 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Comments or questions? 15 COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: None here. 16 COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: No questions. 17 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Is there a motion?

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Chairman, I move we approve the proposed proposal of amendments to 40 Texas

Administrative Code Chapter 804, Jobs and Education for Texans grant program, for publication in the Texas Register and public

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I second.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: It's been moved and

seconded, and the motion carries.

comment as recommended today by staff.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COLLIN BRADLEY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: This is Agenda Item 10, certification and recertification of community rehabilitation programs to participate in the Purchasing from People with Disabilities program.

JUAN GARCIA: Good morning, commissioners,
Mr. Serna. Juan Garcia with the Voc Rehab Division. This morning
for your consideration and possible approval, we have one new
CRP that's applying to participate in the Purchasing from People
with Disabilities program as well as seven CRPs that are
applying for recertification. The new CRP is CleanArt Services
in Lexington, Texas. The seven CRPs that are up for
recertification are Beacon Lighthouse in Wichita Falls, Beaumont
Product and Services in Beaumont, Burke Center in Lufkin, East
Texas Lighthouse for the Blind in Tyler, Easter Seals in Austin,
Gateway Community Partners in Jacksonville, and Redirection
Opportunities in Longview. Staff recommends the approval of the
certification of one new CRP and seven CRPs that are applying
for recertification. With that I can answer any questions you
might have.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Any comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: None here.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: No questions here.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Chairman, I move we approve the certification and recertification of the following community rehabilitation programs for participation in the Purchasing from People with Disabilities program as recommended today by staff: The certification of CleanArt Services

Incorporated; and the recertification of Beacon Lighthouse;

Beaumont Product and Services Incorporated; Burke Center; East

Texas Lighthouse for the Blind; Easter Seals; Gateway Community

Partners; and Redirection Opportunities.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I second.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: It's been moved and seconded, and the motion carries. This is item 11, fiscal years 2025 through 2027 Child Care and Development Fund state plan.

REAGAN MILLER: Good morning, chairman, commissioners. Reagan Miller with the Child Care & Early Learning Division. This morning we're bringing you the final Child Care and Development Fund state plan for fiscal years '25 through '27. The federal office of child care provides states with the plan template which describes the policies and strategies for the state's CCDF program. As we developed the state plan, we've solicited a lot of stakeholder input. We did this through some initial surveys and then through five regional stakeholder meetings and one Zoom stakeholder meeting in February. Following the stakeholder meetings you approved the draft state plan which was published for formal public comment,

and we're now bringing you back the final CCDF state plan. One area that we received significant comments on was from stakeholders requesting additional funding to support the child care sector. During our stakeholder meetings we've clarified that the CCDF state plan is not a vehicle for requesting increases in funding. The CCDF state plan is developed based upon funding that's already been authorized, approved, and appropriated. There are 10 sections of the state plan. Your material highlights each of these sections along with some highlights of the information that's included, and we also have a copy of the full state plan in your materials. With your approval we will submit this to the federal office of child care. I'm happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Any comments or questions? COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: None here. COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: No questions, chair. CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Is there a motion? COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Chairman, I move we approve the fiscal years 2025 through 2027 Child Care and Development Fund state plan as recommended today by staff. COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I second. CHAIRMAN DANIEL: It's been moved and seconded, and the motion carries.

REAGAN MILLER: Thank you.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: This is Agenda Item 12,

Texas Workforce Commission statewide initiatives as part of the

fiscal year 2025 operating budget and fiscal years 2026 through

2027 legislative appropriations requests. We did have some items

tabled from last week. Is there any further discussion on any of

those tabled items?

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: I would just like to clarify my request for additional funding for Chapter 133, Apprenticeship, that I proposed staff look into uncommitted preapprenticeship funds that are first available and then recommend adding the \$2,088,527 from what's left over WIOA statewide funds for fiscal year '25 contracts just as a bridge to support the same number of contact hours while preserving the contact hour rate at four dollars. I will bring forward the exceptional item for consideration for fiscal year '26 and '27. In addition, I request \$200,000 from TANF funds to be added to the Foster Youth Network initiative to be spent on outreach efforts to promote the website and ensure foster youth are aware of this resource. That concludes my comments.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: On the 133, can you justif you don't mind, just read through that again so I can
understand all the moving parts.

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: OK. So I'd like to clarify that for 133 I propose staff look into uncommitted pre-apprenticeship funds that are first available or available

1 first, then I recommend adding or whatever's left over to make it \$2,088,527 from WIOA statewide funds for fiscal year '25 2 3 contracts just as a bridge to support the same number of contact 4 hours while preserving the contact hour rate at four dollars. 5 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Just so I'm clear, so last 6 week I think we advanced-we put the GR obviously-we had a 7 million dollars from WIOA and then there's some additional dollars from TANF that are for Chapter 133. Is the 2,088,527, is 8 9 that in addition to the million dollars from WIOA already? COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: I believe it would be 10 11 just so that it could bridge that gap to keep the contact hour 12 rate at four dollars. 13 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: So the total available 14 dollars in excess of the GR funds needs to be 2,088,527. COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Correct. 15 16 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: So there's already—we've 17 already voted on a million so we're really kind of talking about 18 a million and some change. Is that -am I right on that? 19 COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: No, it would be the 20 2,088,527 to make sure that we have that funds available to keep 21 the contact hour rate at four dollars. 22 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: So really, we're saying we 23 want to put three million more in. 24 COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Correct.

1 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: And this is for-but we 2 don't even know who's applied for 2025 yet, right? 3 COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Those numbers I 4 believe were supposed to be in on Tuesday of next week but it's 5 already over what was of last year. CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Are you saying staff's 6 7 going to bring back a paper outlining where the money's going to 8 come from specifically and we'll vote on it then? COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: If that's doable, 10 yeah, I would recommend that just to keep that contact hour rate 11 at four dollars so that we could have that discussion. 12 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: I would just like to see 13 it all in one place with staff, and it can come from multiple 14 pots of money. I don't think [inaudible] care probably what the 15 source is as long as it's an available source so unless you 16 object, either one of you object, I would say have staff prepare 17 a discussion paper doing what you've asked for, bring that back 18 to us for a vote. I don't think it has to be done today but I 19 think we need to-obviously we need to vote on it so if we bring 20 it back like that, we can at least have all the facts in front 21 of us. 22 COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: I agree with that. 23 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Is there no objection to 24 that? 25 COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: I don't object.

```
1
                         COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I don't object
2
   either.
 3
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: All right. Mr. Serna,
 4
   staff will bring back a discussion paper on that. I think
5
    Commissioner Treviño articulated it well.
 6
                         MR. SERNA: Yes, sir.
 7
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: I just need to see it all
8
   in one place.
9
                         MR. SERNA: We'll have it at the next
10
   commission meeting.
11
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Thank you. 200,000 for the
12
   Foster Youth Network outreach, and you said from TANF?
13
                         COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: That's correct.
14
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: So this is really probably
15
   just a one-time-only kind of effort.
16
                         COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Correct.
17
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: [Inaudible] choice there.
18
                         COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Yeah, it's going to
19
   be just so that it can kick off and they can have that outreach
20
   and promote it so that the children know where it's-that it's
21
    there for them.
22
                         CHAIRMAN DANIEL: So have you thought about
23
   what you want that outreach to look like? Is this like internal
24
   work? Is it bring somebody, a contractor in? I don't know to
25
   what extent you've probably thought through this.
```

1 COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Yeah, it would 2 probably be the external company that's on the RFA that was 3 submitted so we didn't foresee that when we did the initial four 4 million so they recommended that they have that outreach and 5 promote the efforts of the website so that they know it's there. 6 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: And we're-are we procuring 7 the network itself right now, that is we're in that process somewhere? 8 9 COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: That's correct. 10 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: All right. Is there a 11 second for setting aside 200,000 of TANF funds for the Foster 12 Youth Network outreach effort. 13 COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I second it. 14 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: It's been moved and 15 seconded. All right, we'll set that aside. All right, anything 16 else to come up from the list of tabled items last week? 17 COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: Chairman, I have two 18 proposals. 19 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: Just to clarify the 21 proposals that were tabled from last week, 1.5 in WIOA funds for 22 supporting transitioning service members specialize or, excuse 23 me, specifically focused on Central Texas board and Fort Cavazos

and their dislocated worker program. I've got a discussion paper

I could slide you through this one too. The additional proposal,

24

the second proposal, involves setting aside five million in child care funds for Texas small businesses and family support programs. Ideally, we want to see this focused on nontraditional eight to five, more shift work small business, restaurants and that service industry for service industry hospitality folks there too so I want to see this five million just kind of set our initiative to help bridge the critical infrastructure that we're seeing that takes our labor force out of the economy that we want to be able to provide this to the development boards to address. Again, this is a draft. Still open to see how this would work and how we would be able to work with the boards to push this money out statewide.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Let's take up the Fort Cavazos issue first.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: OK.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: I have some questions but certainly defer to you if you have something.

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: That's OK. No, I just wanted to say that the Central Texas Workforce Board dislocated worker service is a valuable program that has impact on individuals that it serves so I fully support using the 1.5 million dollars for this program, and using the funding source that staff feels most appropriate.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: So on the Fort Cavazos question, this is the same thing we gave them \$750,000 for in December. Is that correct?

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: And so at that time they were waiting to hear back on a federal grant that they had

applied for that they ultimately did not get.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: And I have a lot of questions about this because it would appear they've been doing work on a federal grant for two years. They were operating on a federal grant for the two years prior to December when they were doing that. Were they doing these outreach efforts, these specific efforts, before that federal grant or is this as a result of that federal grant?

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: This is a—so the federal grant supported the services that they provided to what we're referring to as dislocated workers, service members transitioning out of the Fort Cavazos area. They had continued to apply and I guess through some recommendations by the DOL they were—I guess they amended their applications which therefore it was basically rejected so the services are no longer funded through attempts—I guess future attempts to bridge the—I guess the missing money or the money that they're—basically what they're going to be short by not getting this

grant, this is going to be money that serves as a bridge to continue services where they can in placing those dislocated workers.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Do we know what those services are and how they're different from how they're dealing with other workers in their service area?

wraparound services they continue to provide general—the way I was explained was they take the same services that they apply if a company were to shut down and push out 200,400 workers, that they help those services in their Workforce Development Boards in their offices. This here is focused and working with the military bases to transition those service members before the EAS so before their end-of-active-service date hits. They're getting to those folks in the military bases so what this money does is support the staffing and programs that help not only service members but the families that are in that area too.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Is this board staff or contractor staff?

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I don't have that information as of yet. I think it sounded like it was a mix of both. I'm not sure who the Central Texas Board is contracting through so I haven't seen that contract name.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: I don't want to infer something here.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: Sure.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: It sounds like they can't use their regular WIOA allocation to do this type of work. They claim that they're not going to be able to do it with money that they've already received? Is that what I'm hearing?

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: So they couldn't—they can't provide these services to service members unless they have some dedicated pot of money to that?

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: Yeah, the grant was providing that for the number of service members that leave on an annual basis.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Is that number increased?

Is there an increased number at Fort Cavazos of soldiers leaving the service?

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I have a number of 16,482 that's basically the number of transitioned that's in 2030. I have to—I'll have to get a little bit more information on historical numbers that come out.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Do we—I don't know, I'm asking. I see Bob back there. Do we not have other veterans' programs? Oh, come on up, Bob. Do we not have other veterans' programs here that provide a similar service? Here's what—he's coming to the mic. I'll let him settle his notes. Here's what I'm processing in my mind. We've got Skills Development Fund.

We've got Lone Star Workforce for the Future. We've got UpSkill Texas. We've got a lot of training dollars here that may or may not be applicable to the problem, and I'm wondering if there's other through our, I think, very good veterans programming here, is there a similar program as to what this board's trying to do statewide to help service members who are transitioning out of the military?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BOB GEAR: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Treviño, Commissioner Esparza, Mr. Serna. For the record, Bob Gear, director of the Texas Veterans Leadership Program. So this is probably one of the most complicated stuff that's out there because of all the different things. To answer the first question about the funding, Mr. Chairman, central Texas does not get funding, formula funding, for WIOA, jobs for veterans state grant or Wagner-Peyser from the federal formula. It does not cover the close to 60,000 military and civilian workforce that is on Fort Cavazos. Fort Bliss doesn't get it, Borderplex doesn't get it for Fort Bliss. For Joint Base San Antonio, Alamo doesn't get it for Joint Base San Antonio so that is something that's part of federal formula funding historically. Between 12,000 and 16,000 separate from Fort Cavazos every year, total of 16,000. Fort Cavazos and Fort Bliss, the reason these numbers fluctuate obviously because of the number of active duty but Fort Bliss and Fort Cavazos are also transition points for the National Guard and reserve when

they're coming off Title 10 so they have to provide services, and depending on where they go, that will increase or decrease the numbers and also affect the UCX rate. This money specifically, what they use this grant for, there is two things. They have partnered with the army. The army has done a very good job on what they call career skills programs. For example, [inaudible] Technicians of Tomorrow, GM Shifting Gears and Airstreams. This money has paid for those service members to attend that training so that when they get out, they are certified technician for wind turbine or automobiles for Ford or GM, and they move straight into employment. The other piece that this funding supports is supportive services, and I can tell you we've watched them provide up from \$3,500 to \$4,000 toolboxes for automobile mechanics, millwrights and carpenters. These TSMs get that, they're immediately employable, and I can tell you they move straight into employment, especially anything close to any of the defense contractors. There's two major companies up there that hire them consistently. In the past, Mr. Chairman, we have used Skills Development Funding. We did have a program here that was called skills transition program. Central Texas and Fort Hood at the time, Fort Cavazos now, they did-they were doing certifications such as PMP, Lean Six Sigma, and pharmacy tech and IT certifications were the big ones. There was internal issues using the Skills Development Funds making sure that we connected the service members when they completed with the fund

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to the employers for the employment piece but we have since I think, the job Central Texas did with the NDW connecting key employers, they got a very good grasp on that employment piece.

MR. SERNA: Mr. Chairman, I think Chris can answer your question concerning the use of other funding sources.

CHRIS NELSON: Good morning. For the record, Chris Nelson, chief financial officer. Chairman, to your question, I think which was can they use their normal allocation to provide these services, and the answer is yes. I think the case that Central Texas makes is that the commission had just approved the allocation I think last week. The data factors that are used to distribute WIOA dislocated worker funds does not take into account exiting service members, and Fort Cavazos being such a large base with so many exiting service members, there's this disparity between the amount of funding they get and the amount of exiting service members they're trying to provide services to, hence, I think that the request for additional WIOA funding.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: So I think my issue lies in the fact that we're targeting funds on a one-off basis to one specific board.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: And on the basis of that,

I would have to vote no. But let me talk more broadly about what

I think we have an opportunity to do here. So Fort Bliss probably slightly less but I would suspect Fort Bliss probably has as many soldiers moving out of active duty into the civilian ranks probably just proportionate to the number of personnel that are assigned at Fort Bliss.

BOB GEAR: Right, they get about 8,000 to 9,000 a year, yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: You know, Bob's going to disagree with me. Chris is going to be with me. We've got two naval air stations here in much smaller numbers but the navy is putting people out too at some point, and we've got some opportunities there. I would venture to say Joint Base San Antonio which is by far and away the largest installation here, that's primarily basic training and some other things so they're not getting out, they're getting started. But I'm also thinking about in 2015 or 2016 when the Red River Army Depot closed, we had 800 displaced workers and it was actually over a year before we got a lot of workers sort of replaced. Most of those were civilians. There were a few active duty personnel there. Some got transferred to other duty stations, others simply left the military. My point is is that there's always activity in this space and rather than just give one board a second helping of money that they've already asked for, I mean without being too terribly preachy, they applied for a federal grant, they got it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

They put no plan in place on what to do if they didn't get subsequent federal grants.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: Right.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: And their solution seems to be to come to the commission and say, just keep giving us more money to do the thing that we want to do irrespective of things that you may or may not want to do, and I think that's probably not the best way for us to do business. What I'm wondering is is if you have any interest whatsoever in making this a true statewide program, letting boards—so Borderplex could apply, you know, anybody that has a military installation where we're seeing some kind of dislocated worker coming out of that situation. I saw a report last week from the governor's office where the governor was talking about the economic impact of different military installations, and the civilian jobs and spouse jobs sometimes equal the employment of the military personnel so I think you just-frankly, you've got a lot of moving parts. It was an impressive report in terms of what the U.S. military does not just in direct economic development but the indirect economic development. I fully comprehend the issue. I think for me it's the way this board has handled it, it's probably not the best way in my opinion.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: OK.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: And I'm wondering if we can quickly give this some good thought, stand up a statewide

program, a way for boards to apply for that money. Perhaps we can correct the formula issue. Perhaps there's a better way to do this.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: Chairman, I'll support that. Ideally this was-obviously it's a draft. It is an attempt to raise awareness of what happened in Central Texas because the third bloc of those military members that are leaving and their spouses are kind of in-in the way that I understand is there's a third that just get out of the military and they go back home. There's a third that love Texas so much, and we love them too, they stay and they're fine. There's a third that is in the balance. I would be open to withdraw this request even with the 1.5 million for directly that if there's a better opportunity to address this as a statewide. It was my-one factor I did-I was strongly considering the fact that this was specifically targeted for Fort Cavazos and mainly-in my opinion, it's mainly because it was a large population that hits a smaller community. I mean Killeen and the central Texas area is in no way a small town. It's not 6A football or six-man football or anything but again for that central Texas region to take on such a big mass exodus of workers-

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: So I would be willing to—if we're able to discuss something that we could better do as

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

a statewide effort, I'd be very interested in that as opposed to targeting 1.5 to central Texas.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: I appreciate that and I think if we-here's what I'm thinking. I live on the corner-you didn't really care about that but I live on the corner and the other three corners are all prior service at Fort Cavazos, you know? They all transitioned out at different times. They all had different needs. My point is I think Killeen probably bears the brunt of it. I think Temple-Belton probably get their fair share but Georgetown on 195, you can get to the PX pretty quick so they-Georgetown has plenty of former Fort Cavazos soldiers that are living there now, and so that's a different board area. I think it's the same issue that we're dealing with. I appreciate your idea to withdraw this. I think in light of that I would say let's just take this if you're willing, ask staff to very quickly bring this forward as a statewide proposal with a mechanism for boards to either apply for this money or a formula to distribute this money so that we don't delay and we might be able to get some assistance out there for veterans in doing that, and Commissioner Treviño, unless you just have an objection I would even suggest we don't need to think in terms of 1.5 million dollars. I think if it needs to be a larger dollar amount, staff should recommend that. We can debate that from here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Right, I know that it's an important program. Appreciate you bringing that up, Commissioner Esparza, but I do also agree, hearing what the chairman said and then your willingness to pull it, I think there probably is maybe a better way that we could service them and the rest of the boards here in Texas so I agree with that too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: OK. I formally pull it back.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: No, I think if there's no objection, Mr. Serna, we would ask staff to bring forward a discussion paper quickly on a statewide program to assist active duty personnel who are departing the military and their job needs in terms of training, etc. Let me add two more caveats to that. Let's don't forget military spouses while we're thinking about that if we want to make this a part of this program. And the third thing is I'd-to what extent this needs to be wrapped up in this, I don't know. I just don't want to lose sight of this. If there's a way to build into that continued work with TDLR to start solving some issues on why some military licensures don't carry over into civilian occupations, I'd like to make it part of that as well. That's an area I don't think we've probably completed our work in, and if it can get wrapped up in that as well, particularly where someone is-I'm thinking specifically of army medics and how that might translate to EMTs and other things, firefighters and other assorted things. We just need to be thinking about that and whether or not that needs to be wrapped up in that effort but most important part is providing resources for service members who are transitioning out of active duty service, to provide them the resources they need to successfully enter the workforce here in Texas. Is that fair?

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: Yes, sir.

[Inaudible].

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Everybody's OK?

COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN DANIEL: All right, let's move on then to the question of—thank you, Bob—child care funds for Texas small businesses.

COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: So again, this is requesting five million in child care funds for Texas small business family support program that can aid small business owners, help them cover the cost of child care. The intent would be to enable small business owners to focus on growth and success of the businesses while ensuring their employees have access to affordable health care. Obviously, we all know that there is a—that we have a huge mountain to climb here with child care. My intent is to highlight and then to ensure that we still—that whatever the fix may be in the next coming legislative session, that we maintain that employers are again

1 the linchpin of-have that voice in the policies that bring about 2 the accomplishment or the initiatives that are going to help 3 with the situation in the state of Texas but focus mainly on 4 what I want to do here with small businesses and again focus on 5 kind of the-I don't want to say nontraditional, I don't want to 6 say nontraditional but outside of eight to five, the normal 7 regular workday. We have a lot of critical businesses, health 8 care, hospitality, restaurants, that stuff. So I request staff 9 to help develop the discussion paper for my fellow commissioners 10 to consider a future policy or, excuse me, to consider at a 11 future policy agenda meeting but I want to start the conversation to be able to fund and use five million for 12 13 statewide funds that support child care. 14 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: I won't object to any 15 commissioner asking for a discussion paper. 16 COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Right, yeah. I 17 wouldn't agree or wouldn't disagree either but there are some 18 questions that probably would pop up that staff could answer. 19 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: All right, Mr. Serna. All 20 right. Anything else on any of those items? 21 COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: No, none here. 22 CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Let's see. I think we 23 voted on all of them or we disposed of all of them off the

table. I think we're good. So we got a discussion paper coming

back on-I just want to make sure we got all this done. We've got

24

1	a discussion paper coming back on Chapter 133. We've got a
2	discussion paper coming on military career transition. We've got
3	a discussion paper coming on child care, and did we vote-we
4	voted on the 200,000 in TANF dollars for the outreach for the
5	Foster Youth Network. That's what my notes here say. Yep, we
6	did. All right. Very good. Moving forward. Let's see. I do not
7	see-Lowell has a tie on and he's sitting in Michael Britt's
8	seat. I think that doesn't mean we have a legislative report.
9	How about an executive director's report? Is there any other
10	order of business to come before the commission?
11	COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: None here.
12	COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: No, sir.
13	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: Is there a motion to
14	adjourn?
15	COMMISSIONER TREVIÑO: Chairman, I move we
16	adjourn.
17	COMMISSIONER ESPARZA: I second.
18	CHAIRMAN DANIEL: It's been moved and
19	seconded to adjourn, and we're adjourned. Thank you.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	